

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/789,875 | 02/27/2004 | | Louis S. Osika | 18032 USA | 9151 | |
| 27081 | 7590 | 590 12/15/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | LINOIS, IN | | MIGGINS, MICHAEL C | | | |
| ONE SEAGATE, 25-LDP TOLEDO, OH 43666 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | | 1772 | 1772 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| | 10/789,875 | OSIKA ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Michael C. Miggins | 1772 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with t | e correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY TO THE MAILING ID | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND | PION. Doe timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 I | February 2004. | |
| , | is action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under | ance except for formal matters, | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | awn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in Appli ority documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | cation No eived in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) | A) 🖂 Intonious Com | many (PTO-413) |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/M | nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Application/Control Number: 10/789,875

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Slat et al. (US 2003/0091769).

Slat discloses a molded plastic container or preform having a wall with at least one matrix resin layer and at least one intermediate resin layer, said intermediate resin being blended with an additive, said wall have at least one localized portion of predetermined geometry that is thicker than surrounding portions of said wall and within which said additive is discernable (36 from Fig. 3), said container or preform including a closed end, a sidewall extending from said closed end, a finish portion terminating said sidewall, an enlarged portion formed in at least one of said closed end, said sidewall, and said finish portion, wherein a barrier layer including an additive therein in at least one of visible light and ultraviolet light (paragraphs [0044] – [0047]), wherein said additive is more visibly pronounced in said enlarged portion (since the additive is in the barrier layers and the thickness of the barrier layers can vary at any given point, paragraph [0026]) (applies to instant claims 1-2 and 5-9).

Application/Control Number: 10/789,875 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slat et al. (US 2003/0091769) in view of Brady (WO 98/04464).

Slat fails to disclose wherein an embossed portion comprises a logo which is in a sidewall.

Brady discloses wherein an embossed portion comprises a logo which is in a sidewall (abstract and Fig. 1) in a container for the purpose of providing a more striking appearance and to convey information (applies to instant claims 3-4 and 10).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have provided wherein an embossed portion comprises a logo which is in a sidewall in the container or preform of Slat in order to provide a more striking appearance and to convey information as taught or suggested by Brady.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Miggins whose telephone number is 571-272-1494. The examiner can normally be reached on 1:00-10:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Miggins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

Archarles My

MCM June 27, 2005